




**UNDERSTANDING EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS IN URBAN AREAS**

# Earthquake Shaking—Finding the “Hotspots”

**A** new Southern California Earthquake Center study has quantified how local geologic conditions affect the shaking experienced in an earthquake. The important geologic factors at a site are (1) softness of the rock or soil near the surface and (2) thickness of the sediments above hard bedrock. Even when these “site effects” are taken into account, however, each earthquake exhibits unique “hotspots” of anomalously strong shaking. Better predictions of strong ground shaking will therefore require additional geologic data and more comprehensive computer simulations of individual earthquakes.



On January 17, 1994, the Los Angeles region was struck by the magnitude 6.7 Northridge earthquake, which killed 57 people and caused more than \$20 billion in damage. The potential for damage in future earthquakes in southern California is even greater. A Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) report issued in September 2000 estimated that southern California accounts for 50% of the Nation's earthquake risk and that Los Angeles County alone represents 25% of the total risk.

Most earthquake fatalities and damage occur when buildings and other structures fail during violent shaking caused by seismic waves, which travel through the ground from the rupturing fault like the ripples from a pebble dropped into a pond. The intensity of shaking depends on the quake magnitude (size of the pebble)

and distance from the fault (ripples get smaller as they radiate outward). However, the radiation of seismic waves is much more complex than the steady progression of circular ripples in a pond. One reason is that the Earth's crust is not homogeneous like water, but rather a complex mixture of rocks and sediments of varying types that respond to shaking in different ways. In a single earthquake, the shaking at one site can easily be 10 times stronger than at a neighboring site, even when their distance from the ruptured fault is the same.

In 1995, the Southern California Earthquake Center (SCEC), a consortium of universities and the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) funded by the National Science Foundation and the USGS, commissioned a working group of more than 20 scientists and

engineers to determine which areas of southern California are likely to experience amplified levels of earthquake shaking (that is, higher than other sites at the same distance from the fault rupture). Their results are published in a December 2000 special issue of the *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*.

## Two Characteristics That Amplify Earthquake Shaking

By combining observations from past earthquakes with computer-based predictions, the SCEC working group quantified how levels of ground shaking in southern California are modified by various characteristics of local geology. The two found to be most important are the softness of the ground at a site and the total thickness of sediments below a site. Although scientists already knew that



This section of the Santa Monica Freeway was severely damaged when the Los Angeles region was struck by the 1994 Northridge earthquake, the costliest quake in U.S. history. However, freeways of similar construction even closer to the source of the quake went unscathed. The Santa Monica Freeway was damaged because the ground beneath it amplified the shaking, causing the freeway to fail. (U.S. Geological Survey photograph by Mehmet Çelebi.)

ground types of different softness had different levels of shaking relative to each other, the SCEC study is the first that has assigned numerical values to these effects on such a broad scale.

**Softness of the ground at a site**—Southern California has a diverse landscape, from spectacular mountain ranges of hard, crystalline rocks to low-lying valleys filled with softer, sedimentary layers. Seismic waves travel faster through hard rocks than through softer rocks and sediments. As the waves pass from harder to softer rocks and slow down, they must get

bigger in amplitude to carry the same amount of energy. Thus, shaking tends to be stronger at sites with softer surface layers, where seismic waves move more slowly. As part of an independent study published in the December 2000 report, the California Division of Mines and Geology (CDMG) created a State-wide map showing the wave speed in the shallowest 30 meters (100 feet) of the Earth's crust. The SCEC working group then verified the CDMG map for southern California by comparing and correlating these classifications with the actual shaking observed during southern California earthquakes. This calibration helped quantify the increase in shaking expected from near-surface geology in future earthquakes throughout the region.



**Total thickness of sediments below a site**—The sediment-filled valleys of southern California, with their relatively flat terrain and fertile soil, are attractive locations for human settlement. In an earthquake, however, as the thickness of sediment increases, so too does the amount of shaking. The SCEC study developed a new map of the depth and shape of sedimentary basins in southern California and quantified the effect of basin depth on earthquake shaking. For example, shaking levels double from the edge of the Los Angeles Basin, where the sediments are thin, to the middle of the basin, where sediments reach a thickness of more than 6 kilometers (4 miles).

## Pattern of Shaking Varies From Earthquake to Earthquake

The two characteristics identified in the SCEC study can explain some, but not all, of the variations in earthquake shaking at specific sites. Preliminary computer simulations of how seismic waves travel outward from fault ruptures (see images below) indicate that there will be “hotspots” of anomalous shaking unique to each earthquake. These hotspots depend on specific details of the earthquake, such as orientation of the fault, irregularities of the rupturing fault surface, and scattering of waves as they bounce off subsurface structures. Useful prediction of these effects will require more comprehensive computer simulations of potential earthquakes on a case-by-case basis. This is the goal of a concerted, interdisciplinary effort by SCEC and USGS scientists.

The USGS and CDMG, working with SCEC researchers, are producing practical tools that earthquake engineers, insurance companies, and emergency-management officials can use to prepare for the shaking-amplification patterns identified in the new SCEC report. These tools will not only help to save lives and protect property in future quakes in southern California but will also help to improve understanding of seismic hazards in other earthquake-prone regions of the United States.

### A Tale of Two Earthquakes



These images of the Los Angeles Basin show “hotspots” predicted from computer simulations of an earthquake on the Elysian Park Fault and an earthquake on the Newport-Inglewood Fault (represented by the white dashed lines). What is shown is not how much shaking was experienced at a particular site but rather how much more or less shaking (highest levels are shown in red) a site receives relative to what is expected from only the magnitude of the earthquake and the site's distance from the fault. These images consider only part of the total shaking (long-period motions) and were calculated by using a simplified geologic structure. (Data for images courtesy of Kim Olsen, University of California, Santa Barbara.)

### For More Information:

Contact:  
Southern California Earthquake Center,  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0742  
(213) 740-5843  
E-mail: [scecinfo@usc.edu](mailto:scecinfo@usc.edu)  
<http://www.scec.org/phase3/>

**COOPERATING ORGANIZATIONS**  
National Science Foundation  
Southern California Earthquake Center  
U.S. Geological Survey  
California Division of Mines and Geology  
California Department of Transportation

This Fact Sheet and any updates to it are available online at  
<http://geopubs.wr.usgs.gov/fact-sheet/fs001-01/>

Written by Ned Field, Lucile Jones, Tom Jordan, Mark Benthien, and Lisa Wald

Graphic design by Lisa Wald